

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/085,899	03/01/2002	Sam Krausz	P-3947-1	3961	
7590 04/21/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER	
MYRON AMER, P.C.			DURAND, PAUL R		
Suite 310			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
114 Old Country Road Mineola, NY 11501			3721		
,			DATE MAILED: 04/21/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	ı No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/085,899		KRAUSZ, SAM			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Paul Durar		3721	Idross		
Period for					MI 633		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a)⊠ ∃		NAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)□ 3	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>							
Application	on Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 24 March 2002 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
-	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
2) Notic	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC r No(s)/Mail Date	948) D/SB/08)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date	TO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/085,899

Art Unit: 3721

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claim in step "E" recites the limitation "selected first aid treating items" in line

1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over The Logoed CD "The Medi CD Kit" (Non Patent Literature <a href="www.thelogoedcd.com">www.thelogoedcd.com</a>) in view of Worrell et al (US 6,497,443).

Imagine Music discloses the invention substantially as claimed including providing an empty compact disc (CD) holder that it in similar size and shape to a commercially sized CD and providing a first aid kit inside an empty CD holder. What Imagine Music does not disclose is the placement of the kit in a CD storage site in an

Application/Control Number: 10/085,899

Art Unit: 3721

automobile. However, Worrell teaches that it is old and well known in the art of CD storage to provide a storage unit that can accommodate multiple CD cases of a commercially available size, and is located between the driver and passenger, that consists of walls, and can be used as an armrest for the purpose of storing plural compact disc cases in a readily accessible place (see Fig. 1 and C3, L51-55). Further more, in regard to the claimed subject matter of step "E". The examiner takes Official Notice that it is common sense in the art of packaging to place articles into a package in such a manner that it occupies less space than the package itself for the purpose of ensuring that the package can properly close after the contents are placed inside. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have modified the invention of Geocaching.com with the storage means as taught by Worrell for the purpose of storing plural compact disc cases.

## Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 2/26/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the teaching of Worrell does not disclose the process of emptying a CD case of its contents and placing the reconstituted CD case, with the first aid items in the storage case. While the examiner does agree with the statement, the examiner also contends that there is sufficient motivation to combine the teaching of Worrell into the primary reference of the "Medi CD Kit". The "Medi CD Kit" clearly anticipates the applicant's invention by providing a CD jewel case that has "the world's

Art Unit: 3721

smallest first aid kit" inside. The teaching of Worrell was chosen by the examiner to show applicant that is well known to have a CD storage medium in a convenient position inside an automobile for the purpose of accessing CD cases in a relatively safe manner. While the teaching of Worrell does not explicitly teach the use of a first aid kit, the examiner asserts that the Worrell could hold a CD case with a first aid kit contained inside, since the case is dimensioned to the same size of commercially available CD's.

Therefore, for the reasons indicated above, the rejection is deemed proper.

#### Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Durand whose telephone number is 703-305-4962. The examiner can normally be reached on 0730-1800, Monday - Thursday.

Art Unit: 3721

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi I Rada can be reached on 703-308-2187. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Paul Durand April 16, 2004 Rinaldi I. Rada Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700